## Meet-irreducible elements in the poset of all logics

T. Moraschini<sup>1</sup> and M. Muñoz Pérez<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departament de Filosofia, Facultat de Filosofia, Universitat de Barcelona (UB) Carrer Montalegre, 6, 08001, Barcelona, Spain tommaso.moraschini@ub.edu
<sup>2</sup> mmunozpe159@alumnes.ub.edu

In [2, 4, 3] the so-called *poset of all logics* is introduced and compared with the lattice Var of interpretability types of varieties (see, e.g., [1]). Roughly speaking, a variety V is *interpretable into* a variety W when W is term-equivalent to some variety, whose reducts (in a smaller language) belong to V. The interpretability relation for logics can be defined analogously, although it requires some tools from abstract algebraic logic (see, e.g., [2]).

More precisely, a (logical) matrix  $(\mathscr{A}, F)$  is said to be a *model* of a logic  $\vdash$  when F is a deductive filter of  $\vdash$  on  $\mathscr{A}$ . In addition, if the unique congruence of  $\mathscr{A}$  that does not glue an element of F to one of A - F is the identity, we say that  $(\mathscr{A}, F)$  is *reduced*. A matrix is a *Suszko model* of a logic  $\vdash$  if it is isomorphic to a subdirect product of reduced models of  $\vdash$ . We denote by  $Mod^{\equiv}(\vdash)$  the class of all the Suszko models of  $\vdash$  [2].

Let  $\vdash$  be a logic. The set of connectives of  $\vdash$  will be denoted by  $\mathscr{L}(\vdash)$  and the set of terms of  $\vdash$  with countably many variables by  $\mathscr{T}(\vdash)$ . Given two logics  $\vdash$  and  $\vdash'$ , we say that a map  $\tau \colon \mathscr{L}(\vdash) \to \mathscr{T}(\vdash')$  is a *translation* when it sends *n*-ary connectives to *n*-ary terms. In this case, with every algebra  $\mathscr{A}$  in the language of  $\vdash'$  we can associate an algebra  $\mathscr{A}^{\tau}$  in the language of  $\vdash$  defined as follows:

$$\mathscr{A}^{\tau} := (A, \{\tau(f)^{\mathscr{A}} : f \in \mathscr{L}(\vdash)\})$$

We say that  $\vdash$  is *interpretable* into  $\vdash'$ , in symbols  $\vdash \leq \vdash'$ , when there exists a translation  $\tau \colon \mathscr{L}(\vdash) \to \mathscr{T}(\vdash')$  such that

$$(\mathscr{A}, F) \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\equiv}(\vdash')$$
 implies that  $(\mathscr{A}^{\tau}, F) \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\equiv}(\vdash)$ .

Two logics  $\vdash$  and  $\vdash'$  are said to be *equi-interpretable* when  $\vdash \leq \vdash' \leq \vdash$ . We denote the equivalence class of all the logics that are equi-interpretable with  $\vdash$  by  $\llbracket \vdash \rrbracket$ . Note that  $\leq$  is a preorder on the class of all logics. The *poset of all logics* Log is the corresponding poset, whose elements are precisely the classes  $\llbracket \vdash \rrbracket^1$ . Given two logics  $\vdash$  and  $\vdash'$ , we write  $\llbracket \vdash \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \vdash' \rrbracket$  iff  $\vdash \leq \vdash'$ .

In [2] it is shown that even if Log has infima of families indexed by arbitrarily large sets, it may lack binary suprema (this is possible because its universe is not a set). Infima in Log can be described as follows. The *non-indexed product* of a family of algebraic languages  $\{\mathscr{L}_i | i \in I\}$  is the algebraic language  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{L}_i$  whose *n*-ary symbols are of the form  $(\varphi_i(\bar{x}))_{i \in I}$ , where each  $\varphi_i(\bar{x})$  is an *n*-ary term of  $\mathscr{L}_i$ . Moreover, the *non-indexed product* of a family  $\{\mathscr{A}_i | i \in I\}$ , where each  $\mathscr{A}_i$  is a  $\mathscr{L}_i$ -algebra, is the  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{L}_i$ -algebra  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{A}_i$ , whose universe is  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  and whose *n*-ary symbols  $(\varphi_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n))_{i \in I}$  are interpreted as follows:

$$(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n))_{i\in I}^{\bigotimes_{i\in I}\mathscr{A}_i}(\overline{a}_1,\ldots,\overline{a}_n) := (\boldsymbol{\varphi}_i^{\mathscr{A}_i}(\overline{a}_1(i),\ldots,\overline{a}_n(i)))_{i\in I}$$

Similarly, the *non-indexed product* of a family of matrices  $\{(\mathscr{A}_i, F_i) | i \in I\}$  is the matrix  $(\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{A}_i, \prod_{i \in I} F_i)$ . Lastly, the *non-indexed product* of a family  $\{\vdash_i | i \in I\}$  of logics is the logic  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \vdash_i$  in the language  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathscr{L}_i$  induced by the class of matrices  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} \operatorname{Mod}^{\equiv}(\vdash_i)$ . It turns out that  $\llbracket \bigotimes_{i \in I} \vdash_i \rrbracket$  is the infimum of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although strictly speaking the universe of Log is not a set (and, therefore, Log is not a poset in the traditional sense), our results on this structure can be effortlessly rephrased in ZFC (see, e.g., [2]).

 $\{ \llbracket \vdash_i \rrbracket : i \in I \}$  in Log [2, Thm. 4.6].

The aforementioned description of infima allows us to introduce a notion of meet-irreducibility for arbitrary logics. More precisely, we say that a logic  $\vdash$  is *meet-irreducible* when  $\llbracket \vdash \rrbracket$  is a meet-irreducible element of Log, i.e., for every pair of logics  $\vdash_1$  and  $\vdash_2$ ,

$$\llbracket \vdash_1 \otimes \vdash_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket \vdash \rrbracket$$
 implies that either  $\vdash_1 \leqslant \vdash$  or  $\vdash_2 \leqslant \vdash$ .

Our main result provides a sufficient condition for the meet-irreducibility of a given logic. We say that a model of a logic  $\vdash$  is *trivial* when it is either of the form  $(1, \{1\})$  or  $(1, \emptyset)$ , where 1 is the trivial  $\mathscr{L}(\vdash)$ -algebra. On the other hand, recall that a class of similar matrices  $\mathbb{K}$  has the *joint embedding property* (JEP) if for every set X of nontrivial members of  $\mathbb{K}$  there exists some  $(\mathscr{A}, F) \in \mathbb{K}$  in which every member of X embeds.

**Theorem 1.** Every logic with theorems  $\vdash$  satisfying the following conditions is meet-irreducible:

- (1)  $Mod^{\equiv}(\vdash)$  has the JEP;
- (2) The nontrivial members of  $Mod^{\equiv}(\vdash)$  have substructures of prime cardinality;
- (3) The nontrivial members of  $Mod^{\equiv}(\vdash)$  lack trivial substructures.

As a consequence, every intermediate logic is meet-irreducible and so are some prominent modal logics such as the global consequence of the normal modal logic S4.

It is natural to compare the above result with a well-known sufficient condition for meet-primeness in the lattice of interpretability types of varieties which states that, if V is the variety generated by a nested countable union of varieties  $V_n$ , where each  $V_n$  is generated by a finite algebra of prime cardinality, then V is meet-prime in Var [1, Prop. 18]. During the talk we will also discuss a variant of this observation in the context of logics (as opposed to varieties).

## References

- [1] O. C. García and W. Taylor. *The Lattice of Interpretability Types of Varieties*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 50, Number 305, July 1984.
- [2] R. Jansana and T. Moraschini. The poset of all logics I: Interpretations and lattice structure. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 86(3):935–964, 2021.
- [3] R. Jansana and T. Moraschini. *The poset of all logics III: Finitely presentable logics*. Studia Logica, 109:539-580, 2021.
- [4] R. Jansana and T. Moraschini. *The poset of all logics II: Leibniz classes and hierarchy*. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 88(1):324-362, 2023.