

# Modal completeness for general scattered spaces

Gilan Takapui<sup>1\*</sup> and Nick Bezhanishvili<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands  
gilantakapui@hotmail.nl

<sup>2</sup> University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
N.Bezhanishvili@uva.nl

Modal logic admits two, by now classical, topological semantics. One is given by interpreting the modal diamond  $\diamond$  as the closure, and the other by interpreting  $\diamond$  as the derived set<sup>1</sup>. We refer to [2] for a thorough overview of these semantics. A celebrated result for the closure semantics is the McKinsey and Tarski theorem stating that the modal logic **S4** is sound and complete with respect to any dense-in-itself metrizable space, in particular, any Euclidean space [9]. A landmark result for the derivative semantics is the Abashidze-Blass theorem stating that the modal logic  $\mathbf{GL} = \Box(\Box p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow \Box p$  is sound and complete with respect to any ordinal  $\alpha \geq \omega^\omega$  with the standard interval topology [1, 5] (See also: [4]). Earlier Esakia [7] showed that  $\mathbf{GL}$  is sound and complete with respect to the class of scattered spaces. Recall that a topological space is *scattered* if its every non-empty subset contains a point isolated in that subset. It is easy to verify that each ordinal is a scattered space with respect to the order topology.

In modal logic, general Kripke frames constitute an important generalization of Kripke semantics. A *general Kripke frame* is a triple  $(X, R, A)$ , where  $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a modal subalgebra of the powerset algebra. In a general frame formulas are evaluated in the algebra  $A$ . A Kripke frame can be seen as a general frame, where  $A = \mathcal{P}(X)$ . It is well known that, unlike Kripke semantics, every modal logic is sound and complete with respect to its general Kripke frames [6].

Similarly to Kripke frames one can consider general topological spaces for both the closure and derived set semantics. A general (topological) *c*-space is a pair  $(X, A)$ , where  $X$  is a topological space and  $A$  is a modal subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{P}(X), c)$ , where  $c : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is the topological closure. Like in general Kripke frames, in general *c*-spaces, formulas are evaluated in the algebra  $A$ . Bezhanishvili et al. [3] show that the McKinsey and Tarski theorem can be extended to all connected extensions of **S4** by considering general *c*-spaces. In particular, they showed that for every extension  $L \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$ , such that  $L$  is the logic of a connected **S4**-algebra, there is a general *c*-space  $(\mathbb{R}, A)$  over the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $L$  is sound and complete for  $(\mathbb{R}, A)$ .

General topological spaces for the derived set semantics have been considered in [8] for provability logics with countably many modal operators and more recently in [10] where it was shown that the bimodal provability logic  $\mathbf{GLB}$  is sound and complete with respect to general bi-topological spaces.

In this abstract we combine these two approaches. We will consider general topological spaces for the derived set semantics over ordinal spaces and we will prove a generalization of the Abashidze-Blass theorem for these spaces, in the same way [3] proved a generalized version of the McKinsey and Tarski theorem for general spaces over the real line.

---

\*speaker

<sup>1</sup>Recall that the derived set  $d(U)$  of  $U$  consists of all those points  $x$  such that every open neighbourhood of  $x$  intersects  $U \setminus \{x\}$ .

**Definition 1.** A general  $d$ -space is a pair  $(X, A)$  with  $X$  a topological space and  $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  a modal subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{P}(X), d)$ , where  $d : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  is the derived set operator.

A valuation in a general  $d$ -space is a map from propositional variables to  $A$ , which is extended to all formulas in a standard way, mapping  $\diamond\varphi$  to  $d\llbracket\varphi\rrbracket$ . Note that every general  $d$ -space has a least subalgebra, the  $d$ -algebra generated by  $\emptyset$ . We call a general  $d$ -space  $(X, A)$  where  $X$  is a scattered space and  $A$  is the least  $d$ -subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{P}(X), d)$ , a *least scattered  $d$ -space*. Recall that  $\text{GL.3} = \text{GL} + (\diamond p \wedge \diamond q \rightarrow \diamond(p \wedge q) \vee \diamond(p \wedge \diamond q) \vee \diamond(q \wedge \diamond p))$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, A)$  be a least scattered  $d$ -space. Then  $(X, A)$  validates GL.3.

Recall that Kripke frames of GL.3 are linear dually well-founded frames (i.e., linear GL-frames)[6]. The above result can be extended to a completeness of all extensions of GL.3.

**Theorem 2.** For every extension  $L \supseteq \text{GL.3}$  there exists an ordinal  $\alpha \leq \omega^\omega$  and a least scattered  $d$ -space  $(\alpha, A)$  over  $\alpha$  such that  $L$  is the logic of  $(\alpha, A)$ .

The above theorem can in fact be generalized to a much larger class.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $L \supseteq \text{GL}$  be a Kripke complete extension of GL. Then there exists a countable ordinal  $\alpha$  and a general scattered  $d$ -space  $(\alpha, A)$  over  $\alpha$ , such that  $L$  is the logic of  $(\alpha, A)$ . Furthermore, if  $L$  enjoys the finite model property, then  $\alpha \leq \omega^\omega$ .

We leave it as an open problem whether any extension of GL (i.e., not Kripke complete ones) is complete with respect to a class of general scattered  $d$ -spaces. Another interesting direction for future research is to study least general  $d$ -spaces beyond scattered spaces and to investigate completeness of modal logics, not necessarily of extensions of GL, with respect to general topological  $d$ -spaces.

## References

- [1] M. Abashidze. Ordinal completeness of the Gödel-Löb modal system. *Intensional logics and the logical structure of theories*, pages 49–73, 1985. (In Russian).
- [2] J. van Benthem and G. Bezhanishvili. Modal logics of space. In M. Aiello, I. Pratt-Hartmann, and J. van Benthem, editors, *Handbook of Spatial Logics*, pages 217–298. Springer, 2007.
- [3] G. Bezhanishvili, D. Gabelaia, and J. Lucero-Bryan. Topological completeness of logics above S4. *J. Symb. Log.*, 80(2):520–566, 2015.
- [4] G. Bezhanishvili and P.J. Morandi. Scattered and hereditarily irresolvable spaces in modal logic. *Arch. Math. Log.*, 49(3):343–365, 2010.
- [5] A. Blass. Infinitary combinatorics and modal logic. *J. Symb. Log.*, 55(2):761–778, 1990.
- [6] A.V Chagrov and M Zakharyashev. *Modal Logic*, volume 35 of *Oxford logic guides*. Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [7] L. Esakia. Diagonal constructions, Löb’s formula and Cantor’s scattered spaces. *Studies in logic and semantics*, 132(3):128–143, 1981. (In Russian).
- [8] D. Fernández-Duque. The polytopologies of transfinite provability logic. *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, 53(3-4):385–431, 2014.
- [9] J.C McKinsey and A. Tarski. The algebra of topology. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 141–191, 1944.
- [10] Y. Wang. General topological frames for polymodal provability logic. 2023. MSc Thesis, ILLC, University of Amsterdam.